

**Public correspondence received as of
May 22, 2009**

SALMON HARBOR MARINA

A Department of Douglas County
and Port of Umpqua

"SERVING OUR COMMUNITY WITH PRIDE"

May 6, 2009

Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife Commission
3406 Cherry Avenue N.E.
Salem, OR 97303

C/o Gary Vonderohe, Acting District Fish Biologist (via email)
Charleston Field Office
PO Box 5430
Charleston, OR 97420

RE: Proposed regulations for 2009 Oregon Coastal Fall Chinook River Fishery

Dear Chair Rae and Commissioners:


Salmon Harbor Marina, located in Winchester Bay, is a public entity created through an intergovernmental agreement between the Douglas County Board of Commissioners and the Port of Umpqua Board of Commissioners.

This is to express our support for adoption of Option II for the Umpqua River watershed. Option II provides the ability to fish seven days a week with a total limit of five fish per person for the year. Both factors will afford the public the greatest opportunity to make their plans to come to the coast, especially those that travel a long distance, and it gives them needed flexibility for last minute changes to stay another day or two. Option II also allows both us and the community to do an advertising campaign that will be the most effective to draw people throughout the season.

As a large marina (over 500 slips with two boat launches and over 300 camping sites) in a small unincorporated coastal town, we are very aware of the positive economical impact the longer season with a higher catch limit will have not only on our business but on the many local guide services, motels, restaurants, bait and tackle shops and gift shops.

Again, we urge adoption of Option II for the Umpqua River.

Sincerely,




Jeff Vander Kley, Harbor Manager



5/1/2009

D WALTER
COYOTE ROCK RV
76 SILETZ HWY
COLN CITY, OR 97367

MAY 04 2009



TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

THIS LETTER IS REGARDING THE FISHING REGULATIONS FOR THE SILETZ RIVER FOR THE 2009 SEASON.

MY BUSINESS AND MANY OTHER LOCAL BUSINESS DEPEND ON THE FISHERMEN. THE FISHERMEN KNOW THAT THERE ARE REDUCED LIMITS OF SALMON. MANY KNOW THAT THEY MAY NOT CATCH THEM. THEY UNDERSTAND REDUCED LIMITS. THEY ONLY WANT THE OPPORTUNITY TO FISH.

IN THE ECONOMIC SITUATION OUR STATE AND ENTIRE COUNTRY IS IN AT THIS POINT EVERY OPPORTUNITY FOR LOCAL BUSINESS MUST BE UTILIZED.


PLEASE CONTINUE OUR FISHING SEASON ON THE SILETZ RIVER. REDUCED LIMITS WILL GIVE OUR CUSTOMERS A CHANCE TO FISH. MY BUSINESS AND MANY OTHER BUSINESSES DEPEND ON THAT REVENUE TO REMAIN VIABLE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH
ED WALTER
COYOTE ROCK RV
541-996-6824

April 30, 09
To: Dept of Fish & Wildlife Commission,

We are very concerned about the talk of possible closings of the Siletz River. With the Economy in such bad shape, its difficult enough to make a living, without another problem like this. If you allow this to happen, it will devastate all of the campgrounds on the Siletz. We make our living with fishing season & recreation here on the river, and this will cause such pain to so many of us. Please do not close the Siletz, its our livelihood.

Thank you,
James & Patricia Harrison
1676 Siletz Hwy,
Lincoln City, Oregon
97367



Sandra Fixsen

From: Chris Knutsen
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2009 9:33 AM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Cc: Ron Boyce
Subject: FW: Comments on fall 2009 fisheries

Comments to add to record

From: fishhouse [mailto:fishhouse@oregoncoast.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 9:57 AM
To: Rick Klumph
Subject: today`s meeting



Rick,

We will not be able to attend tonight`s meeting. Way too much going on, and cannot get away. Please make it known, that we as fishermen, and as a business, just want to be able to fish. We do not want a total closure. If that occurs, we are totally out of business!!!

Just keep the same regulations in place for now, and we will be good to go. Then when we rebound next year, we can look at is in a different way.

So, for now, we are there in spirit, and plan on us being able to fish, and the right decision is made.

Call us if we need to talk. If not, good luck, and we are counting on you...

J&S

Jesse and Sara Zalonis
The Fish House Outdoor Co.
43310 Hwy 22
Hebo, OR 97122
503.392.5808

From: Richard Heap
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 2:00 PM
To: Todd Confer
Subject: Southwest Zone Fishing Regs.

Todd,

I will not be coming to the meeting tonight, the munge has not released it's grip on me yet and I would prefer to not be the "Typhoid Mary" of Curry County.

I have given this year's circumstance a lot of thought. As we have discussed, there are some very valid issues with an overfishing concern and the potential impacts to our opportunities in the future. I am also concerned about the message. We are quick to point fingers at our neighbors to the south when their systems fail to perform and I think we need to stay consistent. (Agreed, the cause for fisheries failures on the Klamath and Sacramento are not anywhere comparable to our situation this year, but. . .). And we were pretty ballsy during the discussions and negotiations concerning the Sept. ocean Chinook season.

With that in mind, I recommend that we forego both the recreational and commercial Chetco terminal area fisheries and I would also recommend that we forego an in-river fishery. I am aware that the Chetco in-river is a "traditional" fishery and may go forward. If that is the case, I would strongly recommend:

1. Low flow closures.
2. An upstream deadline of the bridge at Icebox.
3. A limit of 1 wild fish per day and 3 per season.
4. An enforcement emphasis from OSP.
5. Similar season dates and regulations for other south coast "incidental" streams (Winchuck, Pistol, Hunter Cr., Elk)

I do want to go on record as adamantly supporting the Chetco Terminal season as our very best opportunity to selectively harvest the marked supplemental fish with the least impact to released wild fish. OSCF has worked hard and spent a great deal of money to help implement a responsible hatchery supplementation program and we feel this season represents the best of both worlds in that we can provide a huge recreational fishing opportunity, reduce hatchery straying and reduce impacts to wild fish. If that is not in keeping with the objectives of the 25 year plan, I don't what is.

I would recommend that the Rogue be significantly restrained as this is the index stream for southern Oregon Chinook. If I understand the forecast correctly, we may not meet FMP objectives even without fishing. I could support a very limited opportunity, but one that sends the appropriate message of restraint and conservation.

As to the Elk river terminal season, this year fish come first.

I don't expect that folks will understand my concern about message, but I have always been proud of Oregon's PFMC record of reason and willingness to put the needs of the fish first, even when it hurts.

Hope to be back in the saddle soon.

Rich

From: Dan Crumley
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 9:39 PM
To: Todd Confer
Subject: Meeting

Hi Todd,

Wow tough meeting tonight. You guys are in a tough spot. You probably never get credit when the fishing numbers are up and every one is catching fish, but you get all the credit when the numbers are down. Hang in there. I thought you folks maintained your professionalism in front of a somewhat unfriendly crowd.

I tend to agree with Steve's late comment to set the 1/3 limit for all south coast streams except the Rogue. While the Elk can probably handle a higher limit it probably creates more confusion than it is worth to have it different than the other rivers nearby that offer same time fisheries. I also think allowing the Sixes River to stay open to keeping a stray fin clipped salmon is better than a complete closure.

Good Luck,

Dan



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4/26/08
Location: North Bend

Comments:

1. Unfired vs. Ad. Clips - should be all Ad. clip conditions while in field diet etc
bleed. Electronic clip - too much mortality w/ volunteer clipping
2. should be allowed to kill first fish landed. period.
too much angler mortality while fighting/landing
3. fish are not only based on wild fish - when 30% are clipped, not are skewed.
4. Guides should not be allowed to fish when anybody is on board only killing more fish.
5. So much pressure on Elk, Sites you will transfer pressure to Elk if sites closed. 1-5 on Elk, Sites in 09

Name: Bob Flanagan
Address: 2355 Ohio
N. B., OR 97459

Affiliation (if any):

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420

Sandra Fixsen

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 1:40 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: Facts surrounding your decision
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

For review and response. – Teri Kucera

From: J Sehl [mailto:chief1@peak.org]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 10:58 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Facts surrounding your decision

Good day sirs, madams,

For the past twenty-five years I have enjoyed fishing these Oregon coastal waters for salmon and steelhead. I have observed many significant changes that are alarming.

First, the numbers of harbor seals has increased at a rate unknown to these local residents. Many hundreds now enjoy the Alsea River estuary. They enjoy the protection of the Federal government. Their impact on our fishery is significant. Secondly, the numbers of cormorants has exploded as they enjoy the protection of our Federal government as well. Their impact on smolt mortality is well documented and destructive. Our baby salmon can not get to the ocean. Third, our friends in Alaska have just increased their quota of salmon due to Columbia river numbers that are above normal. How does that number have any affect on all the other rivers to the south? Why is Alaska (still a part of these wonderful United States) allowed to harvest so many Oregon fish and have an increase in their quota?

It appears to this angler that the sport fishing impact on our salmon is minimal (according to our friends at ODFW). That being said, why do we fail to address the real forces that have such a destructive impact on our salmon. Is it because it involves the Federal government? If so, that is truly a shame. It is time for our ODFW officials to take a stand and address the real issues at hand and confront those in Washington.

Please understand that I truly respect our privilege to fish for salmon. In fact, I am willing to accept my part in restoring our fisheries so that our youth may enjoy salmon fishing in their lifetime. However, until which time as you address the real problems, severely limiting our sport fishing is NOT the answer. It is eye wash at best and a mockery at worst.

Please find a way to approach our Federal government and begin to correct the real dangers to our salmon.

With respect,

Jim Sehl

5/12/2009

Sandra Fixsen

From: Sandra Fixsen [sandra.l.fixsen@state.or.us]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 1:05 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: ODFW seeks input on upcoming coho, fall chinook salmon seasons

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 7:59 AM
To: 'Rick Klumph'
Subject: RE: ODFW seeks input on upcoming coho, fall chinook salmon seasons

Rick (can you send this on the Chris Knutsen and Bob Buckman I couldn't find emails for them)

I realized that after the meetings on the 29th and 30th that I never gave input towards what should happen this year. I talked last week to Bob Buckman, Chris Knutsen and Brian Riggers and got a feel for what they are thinking and what direction ODFW is thinking about for the different river this fall Chinook season.

My question is this. It looks like we are going to see a larger number of 2 and 3 year old males again this year. Last year some of these 2 year old males (jacks measuring just over 24 inches) and most of the 3 salt males measured up to about 28 inches. What I see happening this year is if we go to a 1 fish limit with a 2 to 5 fish annual limit, many of these smaller males will be released by people not wanting to retain one of their 2 fish for a year as a small tagger male. It looks like the runs on our rivers will be strong in these areas and this is the fish the rivers can most afford to be harvested. Is there any chance that we can figure out a way to let people harvest these fish while saving the larger fish? Could we change the Jack limit to say 28 inch for just this year—a one year emergency thing to let people harvest these fish? We could even reduce the jack limit to 1 or 2 fish if we raised the size limit.

What I saw last year in my catch was a big percentage of these small males last year in my catch on all rivers from the Siletz, Siuslaw, Nestucca, Trask and Wilson. The problem was if a guy catches a 5-14 pound male, it was very hard to talk them into keeping it. Most people wanted to let that fish go in hopes of catching a bigger fish later. I know Brian has the catch data off the Siletz of what size fish did get turned in and caught last year. I know a big % of the fish in the creel sample last year fell into this category. I know last year that it was a little hard to get people to kill these fish but often we would kill one to start the day and once people had something they where going to take home it was much easier to get them to release hens or bigger fish later. I just was hoping we might be able to figure out a way to let people harvest these small males (as very few females are ever under 27 or 28 inches). I would hate to see people release these fish most of the year and harvest the 4 and 5 year old fish that we need to get spawning this year. Any feedback would be greatly appreciated.

Scott Amerman

Sandra Fixsen

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 1:40 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: Facts surrounding your decision
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

For review and response. – Teri Kucera

From: J Sehl [mailto:chief1@peak.org]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 10:58 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Facts surrounding your decision

Good day sirs,madams,

For the past twenty-five years I have enjoyed fishing these Oregon coastal waters for salmon and steelhead. I have observed many significant changes that are alarming.

First, the numbers of harbor seals has increased at a rate unknown to these local residents. Many hundreds now enjoy the Alsea River estuary. They enjoy the protection of the Federal government. Their impact on our fishery is significant. Secondly, the numbers of cormorants has exploded as they enjoy the protection of our Federal government as well. Their impact on smolt mortality is well documented and destructive. Our baby salmon can not get to the ocean. Third, our friends in Alaska have just increased their quota of salmon due to Columbia river numbers that are above normal. How does that number have any affect on all the other rivers to the south? Why is Alaska (still a part of these wonderful United States) allowed to harvest so many Oregon fish and have an increase in their quota?

It appears to this angler that the sport fishing impact on our salmon is minimal (according to our friends at ODFW). That being said, why do we fail to address the real forces that have such a destructive impact on our salmon. Is it because it involves the Federal government? If so, that is truly a shame. It is time for our ODFW officials to take a stand and address the real issues at hand and confront those in Washington.

Please understand that I truly respect our privilege to fish for salmon. In fact, I am willing to accept my part in restoring our fisheries so that our youth may enjoy salmon fishing in their lifetime. However, until which time as you address the real problems, severely limiting our sport fishing is NOT the answer. It is eye wash at best and a mockery at worst.

Please find a way to approach our Federal government and begin to correct the real dangers to our salmon.

With respect,

Jim Sehl

5/12/2009

Aaron Longton (Port Orford based commercial fisherman) stopped by the Newport Marine Resources Program office on Friday, May 1 to provide input on the Elk Terminal Area fishery. We discussed a number of the issues specific to the Elk River fishery, and possible directions for 2009. His recommendations and comments are as follows:

- He does not want to have any daily landing/possession limits this year. If absolutely needed would like it to be no less than 20 fish per day.
- Wants the fishery open the full time period of October through mid-December so that they can get enough days on the water to access the quota.
- He is fine with using the modified smaller terminal area to reduce possible impacts to Sixes River fall Chinook. This reduced area would be shoreward of a line running from Cape Blanco SW to Black Rock, then SW to Best Rock, then SE to 20 fathoms due West of Tichenor Rock, then East through Tichenor Rock to shore. His only concern would be that this would only be in effect in those years where there were special concerns for the Sixes River run of fall Chinook. He does not think that this should be a permanent change to the Elk Terminal Area.
- We reviewed what a selective fishery off the Elk would provide in 2009, and he agreed that the benefit still does not justify the cost, and was not interested in a selective fishery this year. However, he wasn't shutting the door on a selective fishery in the future.
- He expressed concern about the ethics and high level of harvest in the lower Elk River recreational fishery last fall. It is his opinion that a "flossing" type of snag fishery has developed in the lower river, and that recreational harvest just in the lower river was excessive in 2008 and needs to be better managed in 2009 (1,000-3,000 catch in 2008 by his estimate).

Eric Schindler, Supervising Biologist
 Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife
 Marine Resources Program
 2040 SE Marine Science Dr.
 Newport, OR 97365
 (541)867-0300 x252
 e-mail: eric.d.schindler@state.or.us
 FAX: (541)867-0311

< ;) / / / / (< ;) / / / / (< ;) / / / / (

Nick Bordelon
42720 Silver Springs Road, P.O. Box 352, Port Orford, OR 97465
(541)290-9460, posalmon@yahoo.com

April 29, 2009

To Bob Buckman and the O.D.F. Commission,

I am writing you concerning the Elk River Commercial Bubble Fishery. I am concerned about the upcoming season. Last year did not work out very well and I would like to see something done to make it work a little better for everyone. I understand the concern for Sixes River wild fish and I know we do catch a few of these fish in front of the Elk River. However, I don't think that every year is the same and the Sixes River bi-catch data you are working off of could be very different from year to year. Considering you only have one year of data from a few different fishing boats it is pretty hard to shut the whole fishery down over a few Sixes River fish, especially with the economy like it is now. Salmon are jobs and we need every one of them.

I just found out that the Canadian quota and some of the Southeast Alaska quota were cut by 35% to help Oregon coast Chinook. I also heard that these numbers were not figured in to the projected returning Chinook salmon to Sixes and Elk Rivers. It would be great to see those extra few fish be given to the Bubble Fishery.

Last year, since we didn't have an October season I spent a lot of time at the mouth of the Elk sport fishing. It was the craziest thing I have ever seen, a hundred people and a thousand fish stuck in a ditch for weeks. I personally watched one afternoon where over two hundred fish came out of the river, not including Jacks. This went on for at least 10-14 days. Every low tide there had to be a hundred fish taken out. I figure there was 2000 or more fish caught at the mouth of the Elk alone. I was concerned that I fished down there nine days and I only got my fish checked twice. I think a lot of fish went unrecorded. I was also concerned with the lack of law enforcement considering there was a lot of snagging going on. It was really hard watching all these fish taken and knowing we only had 250 fish to make a living on.

If the Sixes River wild fish are the major concern, then you need to not let anyone intentionally take a Sixes River wild fish. This means, the Sixes should be a stray hatchery sport fishery only. You could still fish the river, but not keep anything with all its fins.

As far as the upcoming 2009 season, my two biggest concerns are lack of fish to catch and the late November 1st opening that we saw last year. Last year, on November 1st, it was not very nice. It was very bad ocean conditions. Being the young, hungry fisherman that I am, I went fishing. I spent three hours on the ocean by myself in conditions that I was really not comfortable with. When I got in to the

Nick Bordelon
42720 Silver Springs Road, P.O. Box 352, Port Orford, OR 97465
(541)290-9460, posalmon@yahoo.com

dock the swell was so big that the mast of my boat hit the dock and did some damage. November is too late, the weather is turning bad and a lot of us have upcoming crab seasons to get ready for, which is a massive amount of work. As for the lack of fish, I understand there is a need to protect wild stocks. There is also a need for fishermen to feed their families. I'm not asking for a full season, but I do not think that 500 fish for the bubble fishery is out of the question. October and November are hard times around here because crab season has not started yet and, usually, the near-shore rock fishery is not very productive that time of year.

As for the bag limit, I think it is a good idea on a season with under 800 fish. I think it levels the playing field a little bit and does not turn the fishery into a big boat or one boat show. Last year, a ten fish day was worth between \$1000 and \$1200. This is still a good day and if a guy doesn't want to get off the dock for that, then he doesn't really want to fish. Since we did not fill our quota last year at ten fish, I would propose a fifteen fish daily bag limit. I think that bag limits are probably good for management of the fishery.

Here is what I'm asking for the upcoming 2009 season, a start date of October 10th, a 500 fish quota, and a 15 fish daily bag limit. I don't think that this would be too much to ask. Thanks for taking the time to read my letter. I hope that you will take what I have said into consideration before you make a final decision. Please send a copy of this letter to the O.D.F. Commission for their review.


Nick Bordelon

F/V Keta

Port Orford, OR

Sandra Fixsen

From: Ron Boyce
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 1:28 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Cc: Robert Buckman
Subject: FW: fishing regs siletz river

Please include June 5th Commission public comment, thanks

From: ODFW Comments
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 1:10 PM
To: Ron Boyce
Subject: FW: fishing regs siletz river

From: Coyote Rock [mailto:coyrock@harborside.com]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 12:59 PM
To: odfw.comments@state.or.us
Subject: FW: fishing regs siletz river

I am sending this to Ron Boyce or the Fish and Wildlife Commission. I have tried to Ron's email, but have had the email returned.

Thank you very much.
Ed Walter

Coyote Rock RV Resort & Marina
1676 Siletz River Highway
Lincoln City, OR 97367
coyrock@harborside.com
Phone (541) 996-6824
Fax (541) 994-4266

Please check out our website at www.coyote-rock.com

From: Coyote Rock [mailto:coyrock@harborside.com]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 12:26 PM
To: 'ron.boyce@state.or.us'
Subject: fishing regs

Hi Ron
Just wanted to give you my thoughts as a business owner on the Siletz.

The fishing season is a very important part of our business. My business and many others would be decimated by a closure on this river. With the economy as it is this would be a final blow to us.

Our fishermen, some who have been coming as long as 25 years, have seen good and bad times. They understand reduced limits and the possibility of not catching any fish. They want the opportunity to try even though the success rate in the last couple of years hasn't been good. Many come to Coyote Rock for a week or two and some come for as long as 2 months. We have seen them fish less than in the past and go crabbing or to the casino or the beaches instead. A closure will affect the not only Coyote Rock and everyone else on the river,

5/11/2009

but Lincoln City, Depoe Bay, Newport and the entire surrounding area.

On the news today the unemployment rate nationally is 8.9% but in Oregon it is over 12%. These are extraordinary times. Please keep that in mind when making decisions on the Siletz fishing regulations.

Thank you very much.
Ed Walter

Coyote Rock RV Resort & Marina

1676 Siletz River Highway

Lincoln City, OR 97367

coyrock@harborside.com

Phone (541) 996-6824

Fax (541) 994-4266

Please check out our website at www.coyote-rock.com



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4/26/09
Location: North Bend

Comments:

1. Unfurl vs. Ad. Clips - should be all Ad. clip conditions while in field dietate
2. Web. Electronic clip - too much mortality w/ volunteer clipping
3. should be allowed to fill first fish landed. period.
too much unplan mortality while fighting/landing
3. \$s - Ave nos. only based on wild fish - when 30% are clipped, nos. are skewed.
4. Guides should not be allowed to fish when anybody is on board - only billing more fish.
5. So much pressure on Elk, sites you will transfer pressure to Elk if sites closed. 1-5 on Elk, sites in 09

Name: Bob Hamanberger

Affiliation (if any):

Address: 2355 Ohio
N. B., OR 97459

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4/28/09

Location: N.B. Community Bldg

Comments:

Since we seem to be doing well in the Coos + Coquille systems, is there any reason not to allow the taking of 5 fish (chinook) on the Coos first and then taking 5 (chinook) on the Coquille last. It seems rather odd that if we catch them first on the Coquille + last on the Coos it is OK! but not the reverse!

I would propose that only 5 be taken on the Coquille + 5 on the Coos but in any order.

Bill

Name: William Hall Affiliation (if any):
 Address: 93495 Upper Loop Rd. ODFW Retired
 Coos Bay

E-mail address: mhall1944@hughes.net

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
 Charleston Field Office
 P.O. Box 5003
 Charleston, OR 97420

Sandra Fixsen

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 1:41 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: 2009 Fall Regulations

For your records. – Teri Kucera

From: Phil [mailto:phil@tcotackle.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 9:01 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: 2009 Fall Regulations

I currently own a small tackle company and do most of my business with people and other businesses along the Siletz river. I am in the regrettable position of having to publicly oppose any closure of the river. However, after attending the Newport meeting I feel that the Siletz should be severely restricted if not closed. The majority of the fish I observed came from around Medicine Rock and further upstream, with the exception of Iron Works low on the river on early low tide. The majority of these fish were not recorded on harvest cards, and were caught by the residents in the housing developments above Medicine Rock. I know of multiple residents who exceeded their 1/5 per day/year and kept fishing the area. Fortunately most anglers quit fishing mid October before the majority of the run showed. ODFW observers were very rarely seen if ever, and NEVER see any type of enforcement in the area.

The coho in the same area were extremely easy to target and were often kept being called "Siletz River Jacks" and was a far more productive fishery than the chinook. I would suggest closing the river approx. 2 miles above sunset landing, with a 200 chinook quota in the available fishery and 250 coho (the numbers provided for estimated returns show the fishery will support this and still leave enough to fill the native interests and allow a cushion of over 100 fish) with a return to regular regulations next year, and the possibility of relaxing or extending the chinook quota should the numbers be stronger than expected. I would support more observers, and OSP enforcement needs to have a presence. If at all possible a mandatory daily check in/out permit station should be established, anyone wishing to dock another place having to check out first and be done fishing for the day. Any unauthorized landing of boats in other locations or no permit punishable by fines/loss of license/tags. Centrally located facilities like Chinook Bend and Coyote rock would be good locations.

Sandra Fixsen

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 1:41 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: Alsea fishery, fall 2009

For your records. Thanks. Teri Kucera

From: MARK ROBERTS [mailto:stepmegmnr4@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 12:49 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Alsea fishery, fall 2009

After looking at all the ideas on the table for this fall, I would lean towards the 1/day, 3 per season. I think most folks that fish that area would agree. That would give us some opportunity to fish without harming the run. I would like to see 7 days a week since a lot of the time weekends are the only times for a majority of folks to fish. And, with a reduced limit, there would be less harm to the local economy than total closure. I would suggest the entire river above tidewater be closed this year. Or, even close the entire season 10/31/09. Allow us to keep a fin clipped Chinook and/or Coho still, in addition to the wild fish. There is a tremendous population of seals in that area. They are doing quite a bit of damage as well. May want to consider removing some, similar to what is being done on the Columbia.

Thanks, Mark Roberts
Albany, OR

Sandra Fixsen

From: Chris Knutsen
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 6:26 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: 2099 Chinook salmon fisheries

Another 2009 fishery comment for the record

Chris Knutsen
 District Fish Biologist
 ODFW - North Coast Watershed District
 4907 Third Street
 Tillamook, Oregon 97141
 Phone: 1.503.842.2741
 FAX: 1.503.842.8385

From: nehalem [mailto:wheelerlodge@nehalem.tel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 6:07 PM
To: chris.j.knutsen@state.or.us
Subject: Fw: 2099 Chinook salmon fisheries

To: Oregon Department of Fisheries
From: Wheeler on the Bay Lodge and Marina
Date: 5/12/2009
Subject: Nehalem Bay Chinook Fishing Season for 2009

I am writing this memo in regards to the proposed changes being considered for the Chinook fisheries on the Nehalem river for the 2009 fall season. As I write this, I try to be open minded about how this decision impacts the Chinook fisheries for the future, the future of the town of Wheeler, Oregon and the businesses that lay on the bay's shores. This decision not only impacts the Chinook fish but also impacts the infrastructure along the coast and bay. With the economy the way it is, this decision will have a major impact on all the little hotels and marinas that sit along Nehalem bay, and all the small businesses they support. With out a Chinook season the small businesses that rely on this fisheries may not survive through this year.

My proposal keeping both the Chinook salmon and the small businesses in mind is this:

Allow 1 Chinook a day, 3 for the season. Close the area from the Nehalem state park boat ramp out into the Pacific Ocean. Close all waters above tidal flow, this would be Roy Creek park on the main stem (south fork), and the Aldervale bridge on the north fork. The fish would get into the bay before being fished for, allowing them to disperse and not be jammed into a

funnel as they would be in the jetty portion of the Nehalem River, most fish are caught in this area. It would also eliminate the upper portion of the river that some have used as an area to snag fish, gut them for the eggs and throw the carcasses away. Most people that fish this fishery are families with kids, that come to fish, enjoy the area and spend some time here. Most won't even see a Chinook let alone catch one. This would allow a season to take place and help the small businesses make it through these tough times.

I know that the coho are also being looked at as a replacement fisheries for the chinook on the Nehalem river. This would be a feasible option except for the coho are a small time frame fisheries. They are in the bay 3 weeks at best, so it is not a viable option for the marinas or hotels that rely on a longer season.

By implementing these changes, I believe we can insure the future of both the Chinook salmon and the small business's that rely upon them.

Thank you,

Pat Scribner
Wheeler on the Bay Lodge and Marina

Sandra Fixsen

From: Chris Knutsen
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2009 7:47 AM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Cc: Rick Klumph; Robert Bradley
Subject: FW: Angling Regulations

Sandra, please add the correspondence below on 2009 fall fisheries to the record.

Chris Knutsen
 District Fish Biologist
 ODFW - North Coast Watershed District
 4907 Third Street
 Tillamook, Oregon 97141
 Phone: 1.503.842.2741
 FAX: 1.503.842.8385

From: Michael Glowa [mailto:nightglow@nehalem.tel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 9:42 PM
To: 'Chris Knutsen'
Subject: RE: Angling Regulations

Chris,

As President of the Wheeler City Council I am keenly aware of the financial benefit that recreational salmon fishing in Nehalem Bay has on our local economy. Several of us from Wheeler attended the public hearing in Tillamook a couple weeks ago and made the point that small businesses like Wheeler Marina, Wheeler on the Bay Lodge, Sea Shack Restaurant, and others depend on the salmon fishermen in August, September and October to make money and survive. Most of us also realize that to preserve this wonderful fishery for future generations it is critical to work in the present to reduce salmon predation, to work on salmon habitat restoration, better enforcement of existing rules/regulations and etc.

This being said I would like to propose the following changes to the Fall 2009 salmon fishing regulations in Nehalem Bay:

1. Restrict the number and /or days the licensed guide boats are allowed to fish in Nehalem Bay. Perhaps using a lottery type system to make it fair. Obviously, this type of system would need monitoring. No restrictions on recreational fishing boats.
2. Establish a limit of 3 salmon per day, one of which can be a Chinook with a limit of 3 for the season and one of which can be a non-fin clipped Coho with a limit of 5 for the season. Retain current annual limits on fin clipped Coho.
3. Restrict type of hooks used to "barbless only" to minimize harming fish to be released.
4. Establish fishing boundaries: No fishing for Chinook on South Fork above Hwy 53 bridge at Mohler; No fishing for Chinook on

5/14/2009

North Fork above Aldervale; No fishing for Chinook from Jetty Fishery out into the Pacific Ocean.

Cordially,

Michael Glowa

From: Chris Knutsen [mailto:chris.j.knutsen@state.or.us]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 9:11 AM
To: nightglow@nehalem.tel.net
Subject: Angling Regulations

Please send me your comments to me, I will make sure they get to Salem.

Chris Knutsen
District Fish Biologist
ODFW - North Coast Watershed District
4907 Third Street
Tillamook, Oregon 97141
Phone: 1.503.842.2741
FAX: 1.503.842.8385

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 8.5.325 / Virus Database: 270.12.26/2110 - Release Date: 05/12/09 06:22:00

5/14/2009

5/8/09

FISHING REGULATIONS SILVER RIVER

ODFW

MAY 12 2009

Director's Office


TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

AS A BUSINESS OWNER ON THE SILVER RIVER
I WANT TO GIVE MY THOUGHTS ON THE '09 REGULATIONS

THE FISHING SEASON IS A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF OUR
BUSINESS. MY BUSINESS AND MANY OTHERS WOULD BE
DECIMATED BY A CLOSURE ON THIS RIVER. WITH THE
ECONOMY AS IT IS THIS WOULD BE A FINAL BLOW TO US.

OUR FISHERMEN, SOME WHO HAVE BEEN COMING TO
COYOTE ROCK AS LONG AS 25 YEARS, HAVE SEEN GOOD AND
BAD TIMES. THEY UNDERSTAND REDUCED LIMITS AND THE
POSSIBILITY OF NOT CATCHING ANY FISH. THEY WANT
THE OPPORTUNITY TO TRY EVEN THOUGH THE SUCCESS
RATE IN THE LAST COUPLE YEARS HASN'T BEEN GOOD.
MANY COME TO COYOTE ROCK FOR A WEEK OR TWO
AND SOME FOR AS LONG AS 2 MONTHS. WE HAVE SEEN
THEM FISH LESS THAN IN THE PAST AND GO CRABMING
OR TO THE CASINO OR BEACHES INSTEAD. A CLOSURE WILL
AFFECT NOT ONLY COYOTE ROCK AND EVERYONE ELSE

(OVER)



ON THE RIVER BUT LINCOLN CITY, DEEDS BAY,
NEWPORT AND THE ENTIRE SURROUNDING AREA.

ON THE NEWS TODAY THE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE
NATIONALLY IS 8.9% BUT OREGON IS OVER 12%.
THESE ARE EXTRA ORDINARY TIMES. PLEASE
KEEP THAT IN MIND WHEN MAKING DECISIONS
ON THE SILETZ FISHING REGULATIONS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH

ED WALTER

COYOTE ROCK, RV
1676 SILETZ HWY
LINCOLN CITY, OR 97367
541-996-6824



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE WUPQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, or 3 Fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Virgil L. Gabel

Name: PO BOX 4
Address: GARDINER ORE
97441.

Affiliation (if any):
GARDINER-REDSPOUT
WINCHER BAY STEP

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North BEND

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE UMPUQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, or 3 fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name:

Ray V. Raft

Affiliation (if any):

Address:

847 Rowan Rd.
Reedsport, OR 97467

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-29-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE WUWQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, OR 3 FISH, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: HAROLD ETTILT

Affiliation (if any):

Address: P.O. BOX 629
SCOTTSBURG, OR
97473

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE UMPUQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, or 3 FISH, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: Steve Godin

Affiliation (if any): GARDINER, Reedsport, WINCHESTER BAY STEAK

Address: PO Box 541
Scottsburg, OR 97473

E-mail address: Stephen D. Godin
STEVE.GODIN@RCONNECTS.COM

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE Umpqua River BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, or 3 fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: Jimi Edd

Affiliation (if any):

Address: PO Box 55
Gardiner OR

STEP

E-mail address: 97441

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North BEND

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE Umpqua River BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2 or 3 Fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: *Frank Paul*

Affiliation (if any):

Address: *PO Box 30
Gardiner OR*

STEP

E-mail address: *97441*

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE Umpqua River BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, or 3 Fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Walter Murphy

Name: Walter Murphy

Affiliation (if any):

Address: 1745 Greenwood Ave
Reedsport, OR 97467-1643

Gardiner Reedsport
Winchester Bay STEP

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North BEND

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE WUWAPQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERY WHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2 or 3 Fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: David P. Harris

Affiliation (if any): Gardiner - Reedsport
Winchester Bay
STEP

Address: P.O. Box 394
Reedsport, Or
97467

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE UMPUQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERY WHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2 or 3 FISH, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: Douglas K Buck
Address: 973 Ranch RD.
REEDS POINT OR
E-mail address: 97467

Affiliation (if any): *Step*

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North BEND

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE UMPUQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, OR 3 FISH, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: Douglas R. Buck Affiliation (if any): STEP
Address: 3000 FRONTAGE RD #15
REEDSPORT OR 97467

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE UMPUQUA RIVER BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERY WHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2 or 3 Fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: Casslyn m Beck
Address: 973 RANCH RD
Reedport OR

Affiliation (if any): Step

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE Umpqua River BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, or 3 Fish, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: Jack Hoffman

Affiliation (if any):

Address: 70156 Lakewood Dr
North Bend OR

E-mail address:

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420



Public Meeting Comment Form

Meeting Date: 4-28-09

Location: North Bend

Comments:

AFTER WATCHING & HEARING REPORTS OF CATCH AND RELEASE MORTALITY OF SALMON BOTH IN THE OCEAN AND THE RIVERS, I FEEL THAT SOMETHING MUST CHANGE. THESE FISH, STRESSED AND WEAKENED AS THEY ARE, ARE EASY PREY FOR PREDATORS AND DISEASE.

AN EASY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO HAVE A FIRST TWO FISH CATCH LIMIT, REGARDLESS OF SPECIES OR ORIGIN. THIS SUGGESTION IS AIMED AT THE Umpqua River BUT COULD BE APPLIED EVERYWHERE.

THE NUMBER IN THE LIMIT COULD BE CHANGED TO FIT THE AVAILABILITY, 1, 2, OR 3 FISH, but the first fish landed should be kept.

Name: JESS GARCIA
Address: 3000 FRONTAGE RD #75
REEDSPORT, OR 97467

Affiliation (if any):

E-mail address:
JESSND@VERIZON.NET

Mail to: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Charleston Field Office
P.O. Box 5003
Charleston, OR 97420

ODFW,

I have fished the Coquille river my whole life. The proposed option to limit Salmon fishing up to Sturtivant park is ridiculous. You have already cut the limit back to almost nothing. My fellow fishermen and I have decided to not purchase a Salmon tag if this happens.

All this dead line will do, is cause a over crowded mess down river, with - Just as many fish being caught.

In our opinions, enough is being done, otherwise we will find another use for our Salmon tag and license fees.

thank you

Charles Sargent
and friends of
the community
who fish.

P.S.

a more reasonable dead line
would be above Arago Boat Ramp.

RECEIVED
MAY 08 2009
O.D.F.W. HALL

To: ODFW and Fish and Wildlife Commission

April 28, 2009

Proposals below are based on first hand observations; interviews with ODFW staff, STEP members, private land owners, and sportsmen; various agency reports and media sources; and ODFW proposed regulations and closures including supporting arguments.

PROPOSAL #1 Permanent closures should occur in upper reaches of Oregon streams where natural spawning is historically shown to have been most prevalent; where current conditions are judged to be optimal for spawning success; where efforts are underway to restore spawning habitat and numbers through the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Habitat; and where fishing access is limited naturally or by human design.

- a) **Elk River Proposal:** Create new fishing deadline at the lower end of the highway hole. This would protect upper river spawning beds and allow for greater escapement of naturally spawning salmon. At this location signs and markers can be erected that can be seen clearly from the road as well as the river.
- b) **Sixes River Proposal:** Similar deadline erected 200 feet below Edson Creek confluence to protect this spawning tributary and upper reaches of the Sixes.
- c) **Coquille River Proposal:** Deadline established at Arago Boat Ramp to protect salmon trapped by low water conditions which keep the fish in tidal waters well past their prime.
- d) **Coos River Proposal:** Deadlines at West Fork Millicoma confluence, Daniels Creek Confluence

PROPOSAL #2 The Elk and Sixes River systems should be closed to Salmon fishing (as it has been in the past) after December 31st, and not the current date of March 31st.

PROPOSAL #3 Bag limits on these systems should be limited to 1 non-clipped per day and 5 per year as they were last year.

Supporting Argument: Salmon holding in pools in upper river systems are consistently a late season target for guides and sport fishermen. Two examples of this are observable during the late season on the Elk River on the South Coast. The swinging bridge riffle on the Elk River once supported hundreds of spawning fish. These fish hold in the deeper pools below and above the riffle until ripe. Since there are relatively few fish in the lower river during the late season boaters launching at the Elk River hatchery are anchoring in these upper holding pools rather than drifting through to the lower sections, in effect targeting these in river spawners. The boat traffic drives the fish off the spawning beds and into the pools where they are quick to react to lures designed to agitate and provoke them to strike.

Another example occurs across from the Elk River Hatchery Boat Ramp where boats anchor in front of Anvil Creek, effectively ambushing fish holding in the main stem waiting to enter this prime spawning tributary on the next rain.

PAGE TWO

Similar practices occur on the Sixes and other South Coast Clearwater streams where natural spawner numbers have decreased as fishing pressure has increased and fishing seasons have been extended so that they occur during prime in river spawning times.

The real tragedy is that Chinook Salmon caught under these conditions are wasted because although they appear in good condition outwardly, they are actually protein depleted and have no food value at this spawning stage. Dressed carcasses at Elk River RV Park and Ironhead Boat Ramp attest to the poor condition and subsequent waste of these spawn ripe fish.

ODFW spawning survey numbers attest to the devastation to the in river spawning caused by the above conditions being allowed. Fewer than 100 Chinook spawners were observed on the Elk River in 2007. Regulation of these fisheries is required now in order to protect and reestablish in river spawning in the future.

Gary Wellbaum
Harding Learning Center Salmon Study Team
54356 Arago Fishtrap Road
Myrtle Point, OR 97548

(541) 404-4907

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|---|
| Post-it® Fax Note | 7671 | Date | 5/4/09 | # of pages | 2 |
| To | Ron Boyce | From | MIKE GRAY | | |
| Co./Dept | Clackamas | Co. | Charleston | | |
| Phone # | | Phone # | | | |
| Fax # | | Fax # | | | |

Sandra Fixsen

From: Sandra Fixsen [sandra.l.fixsen@state.or.us]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 1:00 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: 2009 regulation proposals - South Coast

From: Val Early [mailto:val@earlyfishing.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 5:29 PM
To: 'Todd Confer'
Subject: 2009 regulation proposals - South Coast

Hi Todd,

After attending the meeting on April 30th regarding the proposed regulations for the 2009 Fall Chinook Seasons I wanted to offer suggestions and comments as per the meeting directive.

1. First and foremost I would like to suggest, given the current state of the fisheries, that ODFW consider that after keeping a native Chinook the angler must **stop** fishing – no catch and release looking for a hatchery fish or jacks. I feel the mortality rate from handling and hooking is not worth it considering the run prediction. If an angler releases NP CHF then they wouldn't have to stop fishing until they kept the NP CHF. Similarly, if an angler caught a hatchery fish they could continue fishing until they caught either another hatchery fish or a wild CHF. Many areas and states currently have this regulation and while there may be some initial opposition to this, sport fishermen have the fish at heart. After listening to the talk by Dr. Noakes about the "handling" mortality – at the very least, and in conjunction with any released fish, the fish should **never** be removed from the water if it is intended to be released. I would like to see this regulation implemented regardless of the seasonal limits. This is a big issue and would help to solve other issues in the distribution of fish. Having a one NP CHF limit could allow for some of the other fisheries and user groups to have a share.
2. The yearly limit for NP CHF would be best for the Chetco, Winchuck and Sixes (the most critical areas) at 1/3 aggregate. This will still allow for angler effort and while it is a small amount, it would still allow for some multiple days of fishing. Again, I really feel a person should quit fishing after harvesting a NP CHF.
3. Low flow closures for the Chetco (and Winchuck) although I would encourage ODFW to allow an estuary fishery in the Chetco to help with the economics of the this region – especially considering the questionable Bubble Fishery. Again, with a quota, if allowed and below Hwy 101 bridge with a one NP CHF limit; stop fishing after retention. Having a low flow closure will also help with the increase in anglers closed out of neighboring California streams due to low-flow closures these border rivers have historically seen.
4. Chetco Bubble Fishery & Elk River Bubble Fishery – both fisheries are important economically to the region. However, with the small returns predicted is it really viable to have one? Especially after the PFMC granted a CHF fishery over Labor Day weekend and there will be a big angler effort to harvest a trophy (Slammin' Salmon winning fish). The Elk Bubble would be a more likely candidate between the 2 fisheries and would allow some take for sport and commercial fishers but the affect on the Sixes populations may be too costly. Again, if this fishery is conducted the 1/3 aggregate limit should apply with the fisherman required to stop fishing after keeping/retaining a NP CHF.
5. Deadline fisheries for the Chetco and Sixes would also help to protect our NP CHF in the upper reaches of both rivers. A deadline already exists on the Winchuck.
6. Address the predation issue – we are losing too many adults and juveniles to predators – seals, sea lions, cormorants. Their numbers have multiplied at a much higher rate than the fish we are trying to protect.

5/8/2009

7. Consider the use of swim-out fry boxes for "left over" CHF from Chetco Broodstock – it would go a long way with PR!

Fishermen are always the first group to step up and help an endangered fishery – either by regulation or through volunteerism. The other consumers of fish - seals, sea lions, cormorants - don't ever slow down their efforts!


Hopefully the 2010 year class will show an increase in the CHF populations so regulations can return to the normal cycle without emergency regs being implements. New science is constantly emerging; perhaps some of it will help to pin-point the problems and causes of the decline in fish we are seeing.

Please forward this to proper individuals or committees. If I need to be more specific, let me know.

Val Early
Early Fishing, Inc.
www.earlyfishing.com

ODFW Commission
3406 Cherry Ave. N. E.
Salem, OR 97303

May 13, 2009



Re: Coastal Chinook and Coho 2009 Season

I attended an informational meeting put on by ODFW in Newport on April 29. The subject was the upcoming sport salmon fishing season and the various restrictions being considered in light of projected declining salmon runs. Prior to attending that meeting I read an article on the issue in the Newport News Times and also reviewed related information that was available on the internet. Interestingly enough, while there was substantial discussion of a number of suspected causes for the decline in salmon runs including "poor ocean conditions", "drought", "habitat degradation", "water withdrawals" and "changes in hatchery operations", there was virtually no mention of one of the most obvious and correctable causes of all; over predation.

Anyone who lives near the beach or coastal rivers is aware of the increasing numbers of sea lions, seals and cormorants. In many of the rivers and estuaries the cormorant population, for example, is so great that there is inadequate roosting space for the birds to dry their feathers after gorging on salmon and steelhead smolts trying to reach the ocean. These predators consume huge numbers of young fish, up to 60% in some areas. Seals and sea lions continue the plunder in the bays and oceans. The numbers of fish these predators destroy has to number in the 10's of millions every year. Yet the populations of the predators continue to grow as fish stocks decline. When predator control is suggested to government officials it is almost universally met with shrugged shoulders and the response "sorry, they are federally protected".


I am personally tired of that response. As the fisheries representatives of one of only three states on the Pacific coast I believe you have not only the standing but the responsibility to prioritize predator reduction through whatever means are necessary. Cormorants are no more threatened than starlings are. Seal and sea lion populations have increased several hundredfold in the last 30-40 years. I have no problem with sharing the resource but the rules of the game at present are simply unfair. It seems to be the general consensus among the "experts" that over fishing is not the problem. Yet it seems, year after year, that a reduction in fishing is the first option.

There are ways to deal with this problem short of slaughtering the predators. Cormorant nesting areas could be patrolled and a percentage of eggs destroyed. Seals and sea lions could be neutered. The simple fact is, however, that if predator populations continue to grow unchecked fishing will continue to suffer causing economic disaster for coastal communities.

Fisheries "management" should include not only hatcheries, habitat restoration and water resource protection, etc. it must include predator management. I believe it is your responsibility to demand either federal action to "unlist" these species or to demand the right, as a severely impacted state, to take steps to mitigate this problem ourselves.

Thank you for the opportunity to express these views. I look forward to seeing steps taken in this direction.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bruce A. Durkee", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Bruce A. Durkee
P. O. Box 222
Tidewater, OR 97390

cc: Bob Buckman
ODFW, Newport

Sandra Fixsen

From: Chris Knutsen
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 4:10 PM
To: Jesse Miles
Cc: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: RE: Fishing in Wheeler

Thanks for your comments Jesse. They will be included in the public record.

Chris Knutsen
District Fish Biologist
ODFW - North Coast Watershed District
4907 Third Street
Tillamook, Oregon 97141
Phone: 1.503.842.2741
FAX: 1.503.842.8385

From: Jesse Miles [mailto:jessemile@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 3:36 PM
To: chris.j.knutsen@state.or.us
Subject: Fishing in Wheeler

Hi Chris

My name is Jesse Miles and I live in the city of Wheeler Oregon on the Nehalem Bay. I've heard all of the talk about how they want to regulate the fishing for this year from my dad and I thought of a way that might be good for trying to compensate for the small run. If we don't allow guiding in the Nehalem Bay and let them go down to Tillamook instead, there would probably be a pretty big decrease in the amount of fish caught.

Thanks a lot,
Jesse Miles.



Guido Rahr
Wild Salmon Center
721 N.W. 9th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97209
(503) 222-1804

May 19, 2009

The ODFW Commission
Commission Chair Marla Rae
3406 Cherry Avenue N.E.
Salem, OR 97303
(503) 947-6044

RE: Comments regarding pending Commission action on 2009 angling regulations in coastal river basins.

Dear Chair Rae:

The Wild Salmon Center offers the following comments regarding the deliberations you are engaged in, namely, restricting harvest of wild fall Chinook across the entire coast and considering approval of fisheries for wild coho salmon in 4 estuaries. This letter contains the following:

1. Recommendation – Proposed Harvest rules to Protect Wild Chinook Salmon
2. Comment – Proposed Fishery for Wild Coho in four Coastal Rivers
3. Comment – Annual Adjustment of Harvest Regulations
4. Comment – Wild Salmon and Steelhead Harvest Opportunity
5. Comment – Need to evaluate By-Catch Mortality

The mission of the Wild Salmon Center is to identify, understand and protect the best wild salmon ecosystems of the Pacific Rim. We devise and implement practical strategies based on science, to protect forever these extraordinary places and their biodiversity.

Recommendation – Proposed Harvest rules to Protect Wild Chinook Salmon

The WSC concurs with ODFW staff that runs of wild Chinook to coastal rivers will be at depressed levels in 2009. We note that considerable uncertainty exists regarding the accuracy of run predictions and the sufficiency of escapement targets for Chinook. However, the need to significantly reduce harvest mortality on Chinook salmon in all Oregon coastal rivers seems indisputable, based on data presented

by ODFW staff in recent public meetings. The best available data predict that returns of wild coho will be relatively good, but returns of wild Chinook will be relatively poor.

We agree with this assessment and strongly recommend that the OFWC adopt the most conservative set of harvest regulations for Chinook in 2009, based on ODFW staff recommendations that are tailored to run-strength predictions and ecological circumstances in each coastal basin.

We urge you also to consider terminal tackle restrictions that may reduce by-catch mortality of non-target species (e.g., mortality of Chinook caught when targeting coho, mortality of coho when targeting Chinook).

Comment – Proposed Fishery for Wild Coho in four Coastal Rivers

The WSC has evaluated this proposal, attended public meetings where the proposal was discussed, and engaged ODFW staff in Q/A regarding specifics of the proposal. Our understanding is that the proposed harvest quota of wild coho salmon in each of these four basins:

- represents about five percent of the predicted escapement to each of these basins, after ocean fishery mortality,
- is designed to achieve lower fishery mortality than is allowed under PFMC Amendment 13,
- is designed to achieve a level of spawning escapement considerably higher than equilibrium seeding in each basin,
- will be monitored,
- is consistent with the Oregon Coastal Coho Conservation Plan, and
- requires approval by NOAA.

Based on the scientific and fishery management information presented, we believe that the proposed wild coho fishery does not pose a significant conservation risk to recovery of the ESA-listed Coastal Coho ESU.

Comment – Annual Adjustment of Harvest Regulations

The Wild Salmon Center supports adaptive fish management capable of adjusting harvest levels based on annual assessments of run-strength in individual river basins. Specifically, if wild populations are producing strong returns, a harvest would be allowed; if populations are producing weak returns, no harvest would be allowed. The WSC suggests that the allowable level of harvest-related mortality be constrained to levels that provide escapement levels that are greater than equilibrium seeding.

Proposed 2009 changes to annual angling regulations for coastal rivers is an example of the adaptive management approach that WSC supports.

Comment – Wild Salmon and Steelhead Harvest Opportunity

The WSC supports providing harvest opportunity on wild anadromous fish, if such harvest opportunity is consistent with achieving escapement of wild salmon and steelhead above equilibrium seeding levels. From our perspective, providing a conservation-based opportunity to kill wild salmon and steelhead is preferable to the alternative of adding hatchery fish to a river basin to fulfill public demand for a harvest fishery. We note that hatchery programs are often extremely costly and are associated with a broad range of genetic and/or ecological affects on wild populations. The opportunity to harvest wild salmon and steelhead in years with strong runs should, we hope, reinforce the notion that fishing and fish


harvest can indeed be supported by wild fish – and that habitat protection and restoration is a fundamental and cost-effective requirement of sustaining these fisheries.

Comment – Need to evaluate By-catch mortality

The WSC suggests that the ODFW staff initiate new studies to evaluate by-catch mortality; first on coho and Chinook in estuary fisheries and next, on steelhead. It seems likely that annual adjustments in angling regulations and allowable harvest levels will be adjusted in the future, depending on predicted run-strengths of these species in individual basins. The era of simply going fishing for salmon on the coast each year may not be biologically feasible in the foreseeable future. The conservation merits of future ODFW staff proposals for fishery openings, closures, use of various terminal tackle, and allowable kill quotas will be most credible if supported by current, locally relevant information on catch-and-release mortality.

Thank you for considering our comments and recommendations.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'GR', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Guido Rahr
President & Chief Executive

Cc:

Roy Elicker, ODFW Director
Ed Bowles, ODFW Fish Division Administrator
Sandra Fixen, ODFW Fish Division
Bill Bakke, Native Fish Society
Alan Moore, Trout Unlimited
Jeff Hickman, Sierra Club

Sandra Fixsen

From: Robert Buckman
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 10:26 AM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: 2009 Fall Salmon season (My thoughts)

Sandra, please include this as comments on the 2009 salmon regulations in coastal rivers and bays.

Thank you
Bob Buckman

From: gary hohnstein [mailto:garyhohnstein6@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 02, 2009 1:38 PM
To: robert.c.buckman@state.or.us
Subject: 2009 Fall Salmon season (My thoughts)

I fish the Siuslaw River each fall and enjoy it. Concerning closing the Siuslaw River for Chinook Salmon this fall - If that is what it takes to preserve the runs for the future, so be it. But if it remains open for Chinook Salmon with a one fish limit, in my opinion, each angler should take the first fish caught, either Chinook or Coho. I have seen many coho in the river each year and each year many killed because they were landed in the boat then released. It is my belief that these released coho will die and they are not strong enough to stand this kind of stress. By keeping one Coho (I think the number of Coho would support this) it would then protect Chinook salmon from additional fishing pressure from these anglers.

You have a tough job - Good Luck !
Gary Hohnstein

From: Jeff Jackson [mailto:jeffreybjackson@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 1:29 PM
To: Bob Buckman
Cc: Paul Burns; Rob Russell
Subject: 2009 Fall Chinook Regulations

Hello Bob

I'm not sure I'll be able to make the meeting in Newport on Wed. In case I do see you there, I'd like to let you know what I'm seeing/hearing down on the Siuslaw, and how I feel about the upcoming season.

- Please consider making the salmon angling deadline at the confluence of the Siuslaw and Lake Creek permanent. This would be a regulation change that would act as a buffer against poor returns and protect spawning fish and fish past their prime as table fare. As you know there have been many problems with snagging over the years in this area. There are plenty of bank fishing opportunities below the Forks for anglers without boats. Lake Creek is crucial to fall chinook in the Siuslaw basin and it is worth considering closing it completely to salmon angling.
- There are rumors that fall chinook angling may be closed on some rivers on the coast. I've heard the Nehalem, Siletz and Alsea are definitely on the list. Even though approximately 50% of my guiding income is derived from fall chinook angling, I would be in favor of a chinook closure if the best estimates ODFW has show the returns won't support a fishery during 2009. I believe the "worst case" scenario would be multiple rivers closed and the Siuslaw remaining open. As you know that would greatly increase the pressure on a chinook run that doesn't need the added strain. I would rather error on the conservative side than do permanent and lasting damage to this fishery. I have worked too hard over the past several years to watch greed and selfishness destroy my home waters.
- I am not convinced the Siuslaw can support a wild coho fishery at this time. USFS spawning fish data from Knowles Creek basin for 2008-09 did not show good spawner escapement. While numbers were better than 2007-08, they were still quite low. We believe large numbers of coho were caught in the Siuslaw during fall 2008 because the majority of the coho run staged in the river earlier than normal and waited until optimal water conditions to move upriver. It does not appear the run size was exceptional - but you have access to more spawning fish data for the basin than I do.

We appreciate all the hard work you do and the tough decisions you must make. I know there must be a lot of pressure to keep certain rivers open. You guys are going to hear it Wed. But there are many of us on the other side of the issue. There are those of us that want to ensure these fish are around for our grandchildren and their grandchildren to enjoy. And if that means a temporary or emergency closure to protect fragile runs, then you have my support.

Best
Jeff Jackson

www.actionjacksonfishing.com

Rediscover Hotmail®: Now available on your iPhone or BlackBerry [Check it out.](#)

Sandra Fixsen

From: Sandra Fixsen [sandra.l.fixsen@state.or.us]
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 11:13 AM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: Fall Chinook Season

From: Lester Ross [mailto:AJSgrumpy@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 4:02 PM
To: robert.c.buckman@state.or.us
Subject: Fall Chinook Season

Bob,
Was not able to make the meeting tonight, so I thought I'd send my comments.

Last year, sport fishermen were limited to 5 finned salmon for the year. In the past, I've generally caught my limit, but not last year. We marked a lot of salmon on our fishfinders, and I mean A LOT, but getting them to bite was something else. It was surreal. From my observations on the Yaquina and Siuslaw, the catch was terrible, yet everyone commented on the large number of salmon being marked. Very frustrating.

We, like many others, generally make a few trips to the coastal rivers, staying for a week or two at a time. Almost everyone I've discussed this with agree. No fishing, no coastal trips; and we'll have to cancel reservations. If the counts of returning chinook warrant it, limiting the catch to a few salmon per person would be the best for all concerned. In these tough economic times, canceling fall fishing could be a disaster for the coastal tourist industry. Already, we've seen numerous businesses closing their doors, including shops, restaurants, and motels. If fall fishing is canceled, PLEASE find a way for the State or Federal government to compensate coastal tourist businesses. They are as endangered as our salmon, and like our salmon, we would like to see them survive.

Regards,
Lester

Sandra Fixsen

From: Sandra Fixsen [sandra.l.fixsen@state.or.us]
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 11:13 AM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: Comments (Round Three)

From: Karl Mueller [mailto:karlrmueller@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 11:50 AM
To: robert.c.buckman@state.or.us
Subject: Comments (Round Three)

Karl Mueller
4829 Elderberry Loop
Springfield, Oregon

I am generally in favor of the idea of limited terminal fisheries on the OCN; however, I have two concerns that I believe should be addressed prior to having this fishery:

1. The seasonal quota is set using a combination of spawner abundance, seeding percentage and ocean productivity for coho. I believe these are important and suitable metrics. My concern is that the escapement estimates required for full seeding are based on a 1998 study/estimate of in-river carrying capacity. As the Commission is undoubtedly aware, governmental agencies both on the federal and state level as well as non-governmental partners have done substantial work to improve in-river carrying capacity since 1998. It stands to reason that generally speaking, carrying capacity has improved since 1998 and therefore more spawners are required to fully seed the available habitat than the current escapement targets. **Therefore, there is an urgent need to update the carrying capacity estimates for the rivers selected for terminal fisheries in 2009 and a pressing need to update these estimates coast-wide prior to implementing quotas and/or selecting areas for terminal fisheries in 2010 and beyond.** I also believe that the FMEP should provide for ongoing reevaluations of the carrying capacity of Oregon's rivers to account for improving habitat conditions. I would suggest once every ten years.

2. I believe the Nehalem fishery is inappropriate as fall chinook are not projected to meet escapement targets for that watershed. In fact, I believe that the FMEP should include at the very least a mandatory assessment of the impact of the terminal fishery on other non-listed salmonid species (chinook and chum) that may constitute by-catch in the terminal coho fishery. In my view, it is not appropriate to provide terminal fisheries on coho or other salmon species where another salmon species that is not meeting escapement targets will be impacted.

Best Regards,

Windows Live™ SkyDrive™: Get 25 GB of free online storage. [Check it out.](#)

Sandra Fixsen

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 2:06 PM
To: Sandra Fixsen
Subject: FW: coho fishery: salmon river

For your information. – Teri Kucera

From: Dennis1559@aol.com [mailto:Dennis1559@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 8:48 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: coho fishery: salmon river

Gentlemen, As President of the Salmon river keepers, I would like to have the Salmon river Estuary included, in your Coho , and Chinook salmon fishery proposals, the salmon river has had a vary strong coho salmon run, including native, and hatchery fish, the hatchery fish has been discontinued for the second year now, and according to hatchery officials they will not be clipping, or raising future coho for the salmon river, I would appreciate any feedback on this subject. Thank you, Dennis D Stage, President Salmon River Keepers (salmonriverkeepers@yahoo.com)

Recession-proof vacation ideas. [Find free things to do in the U.S.](#)



Native Fish Society
7830 S.W. 40th
Portland, Oregon 97219

503.977.0287

Conserving biological diversity of native fish and protecting their habitats

May 18, 2009

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Commissioners
3406 Cherry Avenue N.E
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Commissioners:

RE: Comments on harvest proposal for Oregon Coastal Natural coho salmon

The reasons NFS does not support a kill fishery on ESA-listed wild coho in selected Oregon coastal rivers are:

A coho kill fishery as proposed is perfect for a population that is recovered rather than listed as a protected species under the ESA.

The time series data that ODFW uses to justify the fishery is a subset of the time series data that is available. This data on run size should be placed in the context of the historic time series. We have provided a graphic for that time series (1890 to 2000s) produced by ODFW biologists. This database indicates that wild coho production in Oregon coastal rivers has declined and the trend takes this population closer to extinction.

The increase in wild coho abundance in the early 2000s was due to an improved ocean condition and these populations have benefited from that increase in spawner abundance, but it is too early to kill spawners given their threatened status.

The kill fishery would target Yaquina, Coos, Coquille, and Nehalem rivers. Except for the Coquille River, the wild coho populations in the other streams have displayed a volatile fluctuation in abundance ranging from near zero spawners to thousands of spawners. This volatility should be of concern for these threatened populations. They are not stable and there is no increasing trend.

The Yaquina and Coos rivers are predicted to have a declining spawner abundance in 2009.

Capacity of the habitat to support coho salmon and other salmonids is increased by marine derived nutrients that enrich these streams from salmon carcasses. However, there is no target for carcass enrichment of these streams called for by Amendment 13 or in the proposal to increase harvest by the agency. The scientific literature estimates that to be 93-155 salmon carcasses per kilometer of stream. There has been no analysis by the agency to determine whether this recommended nutrient enrichment of streams can be achieved in target rivers.

Historically coho salmon fluctuated between 100,000 to 450,000 fish (1890 to 1940s) with average annual harvests of 310,000 prior to 1923 (Gharrett and Hodges 1950) with a declining trend since that time. It was due to this declining trend that ODFW (then the Oregon Fish Commission) restricted commercial harvest in coastal rivers.

The historic biomass of salmon returning to Pacific Northwest rivers was 160-226 million kg. Now the number of fish returning to these rivers has declined to 11.8 – 13.7 kg. This means that only 6-7% of the marine derived nutrients that once were delivered to streams is now reaching them (Gresh et al. 2000). Oregon salmon streams are starved for nutrients that increase their capacity to produce salmon.

Over the last 100 years management plans developed by ODFW, PFMC, and NMFS have failed to reverse the decline of Oregon Coastal coho salmon. This proposal is consistent with earlier recovery-conservation plans in its emphasis on harvest rather than conservation.

It is our concern that the proposed targeted harvest in select Oregon coastal rivers on ESA-listed coho salmon is premature for it relies on a narrow data base for spawner abundance; it does not take into account nutrient enrichment from salmon carcasses to enhance the productive capacity of the habitat; projected spawner abundance is expected to decline in two of the four rivers proposed for harvest; and unfortunately continues the long series of efforts to recover wild coho on the Oregon coastal rivers that have failed to succeed.

The ODFW proposal does not include a viability analysis and risk assessment comparable to the work done by ODFW through the Interior Columbia River Technical Recovery Team (ICTRTR). In the ICTRTR viability assessment they reviewed each population according to viability criteria that includes:

- Number and arrangement of spawning area
- Analysis of gaps or continuity of spawning and rearing areas
- Phenotypic variation
- Genetic variation
- Spawner composition (potential effect of hatchery fish)
- Harvest impact on phenotypes at risk
- Hatchery impacts on population and risk assessment
- Predation impacts
- Ecological effects
- Abundance and Productivity analysis

Each population is analyzed according to the risk it is exposed to and rated from very high risk to very low risk and an estimate of viability based on this analysis (ICTRTR 2007).

In reading the ODFW proposal for a kill fishery on wild ESA-listed coho salmon in select coastal rivers I did not find a risk analysis comparable to that developed by ODFW for interior Columbia River ESA-listed salmonids by the ICTRTR. I can only conclude that the risk analysis for this proposal is not sufficient to justify a kill fishery on ESA-listed coho salmon.

ODFW used the NMFS "Truth Scale" to determine the sustainability and viability of OCN coho in Oregon's coastal rivers (Wainwright et al. 2008) in developing the harvest proposal. ODFW staff were involved in developing the Truth Scale. Repeatedly in this NMFS assessment the authors emphasized not to use the Truth Scale to conclude a pass/fail designation for coho populations in rivers. However, ODFW did use the Truth Scale to conclude that selected

populations were viable and could be harvested. ODFW used the pass-fail criterion in evaluating the condition of coho populations as follows: "ODFW interprets positive truth values to indicate the population is sustainable/viable" (header to Table 1 in FMEP document; also stated in other ways in Section 1.) The problem with this approach is that any value above 0, no matter how small, is taken to indicate sustainability, when in fact there is uncertainty on both sides of 0. This approach gives equal weight to Truth Scale scores of .001 and .999 which is not a supportable conclusion.

ODFW staff were involved in developing the Truth Score but when it came time to apply it to the proposal to harvest ESA-listed coho in selected rivers, the staff ignored its proper application. This points out a problem with the ODFW fishery management plan for OCN coho because it is founded on an improper application of the Truth Scale, leading to a deceptive optimism about the viability and sustainability of the coho populations targeted for harvest.

We are also concerned about the impact of a targeted wild coho kill fishery on fall chinook in Oregon coastal rivers. The prospect is for a very low abundance of fall chinook. Since coho and fall chinook fisheries are similar, that is, the fishing techniques using bait will catch both species, the coho fishery may impact chinook abundance in these rivers.

If a coho fishery is adopted by the commission, restrictions on terminal tackle should be included. We brought this up to staff at a recent meeting in Salem and were told that they had not discussed the impact of the coho fishery on chinook and had not recommended limitations on tackle. We recommend that the Commission adopt a regulation requiring no bait and barbless hooks for these fisheries. This would assist in protecting fall chinook.

I am also including with these comments our letter to the National Marine Fisheries Service regarding this proposed coho fishery. This document includes more information and scientific references supporting our conclusion.

Sincerely,

Bill M. Bakke

References

Gharrett, John T. and John I. Hodges. 1950. Salmon fisheries of the coastal rivers of Oregon south of the Columbia River. Oregon Fish Commission. Contribution No. 13. Portland, Oregon.

Gresh, Ted, Jim Lichatowich, and Peter Schoonmaker. January 2000. An estimate of historic and current levels of salmon production in the Northwest Pacific ecosystem. In *Fisheries*. American Fisheries Society

Interior Columbia Technical Recovery Team (ICTRT). January 8, 2007. Scenarios for major population group and ESU viability consistent with TRT viability criteria. National Marine Fisheries Service. U.S. Department of Commerce. Memorandum. Seattle, Washington.

Wainwright, T.C., M.W. Chilcote, P.W. Lawson, T.E. Nickelson, C.W. Huntington, J.S. Mills, K.M.S. Moore, G.H. Reeves, H.A. Stout, and L.A. Weitkamp. 2008. Biological recovery criteria for the Oregon Coast coho salmon evolutionarily significant unit. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-91, 199 p.




Figure 1. Estimated annual abundance of coho salmon produced by Oregon's mid to north coast basins, including harvested fish, 1890s through the early 2000s. Sources: Kostow et al. (1995), Nickelson (2001) and ODFW (2003).

5-18-09

Sandra

The attached petition was given to ODFW staff at the April 28 Coos Bay public meeting on upcoming coho and chinook seasons. I believe the individual wanted the petition to be provided to the OFW Commission as public input for the June 5 meeting when they will make a decision on 2009 coastal salmon seasons.

The individual who turned in the petition indicated he did not support moving the chinook fishing deadlines in the Siuslaw Basin downstream to the confluence of Lake Creek and the mainstem Siuslaw River. This 2008 deadline adjustment closed Lake Creek in its entirety to fall chinook harvest and closed 17.5 miles of the mainstem Siuslaw upstream from the confluence with Lake Creek to chinook harvest.

He preferred that some or all of the area on Lake Creek and the mainstem Siuslaw that had been open to chinook harvest prior to the 2008 season remain open during the 2009 fishing season.

The individual who presented the petition did not give his name.

Bob Buckman

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|
| STEPHEN SHIELDS | Stephen Shields | 14681 Hwy 36 Deadwood OR 972430 |
| Richard Brown | Richard Brown | 14635 Hwy 36 Deadwood OR 972430 |
| Jamie Roby | Jamie Roby | 14555 #2 Hwy 36 Deadwood OR 97430 |
| William Black | W. Black | 14649 HWYWAY 36 DEADWOOD, OR 97230. |
| Andy Wilson | A.D. Wilson | 2111 edge water Dr Eugene OR |
| Samantha Bated | Samantha Bated | 14045 Hwy 310 Deadwood OR |
| Samuel Hoop | Samuel Hoop | 18186 Hwy 36 Blackly, OR (Greenleaf) |
| David Gaup | David Gaup | 2499 Paradise DR Junction City OR 97453 |
| Shida Nickla | Shida Nickla | P.O. Box 111 Deadwood 972430 |
| Don Mathews | Don Mathews | 12395 Swissshorne 97430 |
| Lawrence Baker | Lawrence Baker | 12355 Hwy 36 Swissshorne 972430 |
| Morgan Wick | Morgan Wick | P.O. 3 Deadwood Cr. Red 972430 |
| Jeff Nurenberg | Jeff Nurenberg | 98780 Greenhill Rd Eugene OR 97480 |
| Jeanne Handley | Jeanne Handley | 13395 Hwy 36 SWISSHORNE OR 97480 |
| JANICIA BARTON | JANICIA BARTON | 18196 Hwy 36 Blackly OR 97412 |
| Natsa McRay | Natsa McRay | 14848 Hwy 36 Deadwood, OR 97430 |
| BILL E ASTON | Bill E. Aston | 2012 19th St, FLORENCE, OR 97439 |
| Rae Gramache | Rae Gramache | PO Box 176 Deadwood OR 977430 |
| Emily Miles | Emily Miles | 5235 Donald St. Eugene |
| Kristie Bruce | Kristie Bruce | 93246 Bassonette Rd Deadwood OR 97430 |
| Rhea S Gambill | Rhea S Gambill | 15490 Highway 36, Deadwood, OR 97430 |
| Gilbert D | Gilbert D | 2320 Highway 36, Ste home Dr, 97430 |
| ANDY BLACK | ANDY BLACK | 13697 Hwy 36 SW SWISSHORNE 97480 |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Don O'Berry | Don O'Berry | 88105 Linden Ln. Veneta |
| William C. Hall | William C. Hall | 1282 West D St. Springfield, OR 97147 |
| Bob Wiltshire | Bob A. Wiltshire | 33206 Coleman Rd. Eugene OR 97406 |
| Tom A. De | Seamus STELL | P.O. Box 861 Veneta OR 97267 |
| Paula Westman | Paula Westman | 1459 City West #206, Eugene OR 97402 |
| Alexander Weston | Alexander Weston | 2400 DeWitt Lane, Eugene OR 97408 |
| Marie Spohn | Marie Spohn | P.O. Box 621, Creswell, OR 97226 |
| A. A. Boush | Paula Westman | 889 Spruce Ln Creswell, OR 97226 |
| Pat Hubbard | Pat Hubbard | 2935 NW 1st St Eugene, OR 97437 |
| Blair Hovis | Blair Hovis | 1033 So 34th Pl Springfield OR 97478 |
| John Nagy | John Nagy | " " " " " " |
| Joseph Nagy | Joseph Nagy | " " " " " " |
| Larry Kegeles | Larry Kegeles | P.O. Box 1404 Springfield, OR 97404 |
| William E. Kegeles | William E. Kegeles | P.O. Box 1404 Springfield, OR 97404 |
| David C. Hurson | David C. Hurson | 25095 Cottage Ct. Veneta, OR 97487 |
| SEAN M. MATTHEWSON | Sean M. Matthewson | 1116 Delrose Ct Springfield, OR 97477 |
| Jerry L. Toler | Jerry L. Toler | 1445 W 6th #7 Eugene OR 97402 |
| Jessie W. Toler | Jessie W. Toler | 1445 W 6th #7 Eugene OR 97402 |
| LINK RATER TIDB | Link Rater Tidb | 3955 Mulberry St Eugene, OR 97405 |
| ALVIN R. BOWEN | Alvin R. Bowen | 2370 ADAMS EVGENS 97405 |
| Paul M. Allen | Paul M. Allen | 2435 NINDGE Circle Eugene OR 97405 |
| ALAN R. COMSTOCK | Alan R. Comstock | 2836 Duha Eugene OR 97404 |
| LEONARD BRANKERILLE | Leonard Brankerille | 791 ORLAND ST. MONROE OREGON 97456 |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|------------------|------------------|---|
| Dr. Wallace | Dr. Wallace | 289 Madeline Eugene 971404 |
| Stewart Georges | Stewart Georges | 210 Sterling Dr Eugene 97404 |
| Best Stumert | Best Stumert | 872 27th Springfield 97497 |
| Tim Sorrells | Tim Sorrells | 90716 Inland Eugene OR 97402 |
| Mikaberstein | Mikaberstein | 1344 Dalton Dr. Eugene OR 97402 |
| Ray Chambers | Ray Chambers | 1232 W. Main Cottage Grove Or 97424 |
| James ESTERDA | James ESTERDA | 4033 MERRILL AVE Eugene OR 97402 |
| Robert DAIN | Robert DAIN | 4517 R L Emma Hwy Eugene OR 97405 |
| Mark Lundy | Mark Lundy | 1164 N St. Springfield 97477 |
| Robert Baer | Robert Baer | 28560 South Elm Ln Eugene OR 97405 |
| Jett Wright | Jett Wright | 2730 Hillside dr. Cottage Grove OR 97424 |
| Debra Wainwright | Debra Wainwright | 960 W 24th Eugene Eugene 97405 |
| Mark Wiedelholz | Mark Wiedelholz | 3958 3rd St Eugene OR 97402 |
| Robert EMMAN | Robert EMMAN | 2835 Wimple St Eugene OR 97408 |
| LeRoy Beasley | LeRoy Beasley | 93530 Hwy 99 South Eugene OR 97448 |
| Bill Rogers | Bill Rogers | 3927 LOS ALTOS LAWE Eugene OR 97405 |
| Glen Woodruff | Glen Woodruff | 31826 KERNAN RD S.E. Eugene OR 97408 |
| Jeff Wargardt | Jeff Wargardt | 1165 Kintzley Ave Springfield OR 97478 |
| Zyon H. Field | Zyon H. Field | PO 213 Eugene OR 97457 |
| MIKE CARTER | MIKE CARTER | 290 CRESCENT LN EUGENE, OR 97404 |
| Billy R DEAN | Billy R DEAN | 450 McBurn Eugene 97404 |
| Robert A. Renior | Robert A. Renior | 3504 Parkside Eugene 97487 |
| PAUL LUTS | PAUL LUTS | 2000 MUMFORDS BLVD Eugene 97402 |
| MARL SWAN | MARL SWAN | 5426 17th St Eugene OR 97402 |
| Jim Givens | Jim Givens | 3303 Stonyfield Eugene OR 97405 |
| LINKLATER JON | LINKLATER JON | 3955 W. 1st Eugene OR 97405 |
| LOOMIS Richard | LOOMIS Richard | 371 T Street Springfield OR 97427 |
| COUNSEL YAHN | COUNSEL YAHN | 2311 TRAPEZ ST Eugene OR 97401 |
| Stevens Nancy | Stevens Nancy | 5540 Escalibear Ln Eugene OR 97402 |
| Bryan Brown | Bryan Brown | 27036 8th St Almaden Blvd Eugene OR 97409 |
| Richard S Bryant | Richard S Bryant | 25263 East 15th Rd Eugene OR 97487 |

PRINT NAME

SIGN NAME

YOUR ADDRESS

SheHow Leslie

[Signature]

4764 Tesson Dr 97402 688-2923

Arlene Hudson

[Signature]

65 N 32nd St Cottage Grove 97424 942-9709

Tom Dornalst

[Signature]

3435 So. Louis Ln Eugene OR 97405

Dee Gardner

[Signature]

149A of Sassen St Eugene 97407

John Layton

[Signature]

87860 TERRITORIAL RD. YAMHAIR OR 97487

Leonard Jester

[Signature]

3715 Irvington Rd Pleasant Hill 97455

David Trnka

[Signature]

88746 Lynette Ln Veneta 97462

Thomas M. Aldrich

[Signature]

1354 Olympic St Springfield OR 97477 912-7008

Bryan Carey

[Signature]

1475 W. QUINCY ST Springfield, OR 97477-6601

Scott Adolf

[Signature]

3205 Oregon Ave Spfld, OR 97478

Callie Girard

[Signature]

4109 Terrington Ave Eugene OR 97404

Dee Witt

[Signature]

3905 Stoney Ridge Rd Eugene OR 97405

Carlos Castillo

[Signature]

2215 BRIDGE AND RD Eugene 97405

Solomon Parish

[Signature]

553 River Hills Dr, Springfield, OR 97477

Roger Halme

[Signature]

3432 W. 15th Eugene OR 97402

David Constack

[Signature]

50752 TROCKLETON RD Eugene OR 97405-5415

DAVE HANSEN

[Signature]

717 SPIGLASS DR EUGENE OR 97401

John Hans

[Signature]

2110 W. 24th Ave Eugene OR 97405

Shirley Wier

[Signature]

4035 ORR EUGENE, OR. 97405

Shelley Wier

[Signature]

87244 Muesel Lk Rd. Forest 97439

Kenyon Patterson

[Signature]

2870 Harbuck St Eugene OR 97404

Kyle Patterson

[Signature]

2878 Maranta St Eugene OR 97404

WIFE COBB

[Signature]

235 River loop 2 Eugene OR 97404

Wife S Cobson

[Signature]

235 River loop 2 Eugene OR 97404

Jessie B Bengant

[Signature]

135 RIVER LOOP 2 Eugene OR 97404

Carol Marshall

[Signature]

659 RISE ABOVE DR. SPFLD OR 97417

Wheylan News

[Signature]

3850 Super-Lowell Rd Super OR 97438

Will Leavitt

[Signature]

24862 Boltau Id. (RR 1) Janelo, Or 97485

Jack Sparader

[Signature]

3285 Wirth Eugene OR 97402

Jack Sparader

[Signature]

456 W 16th St Eugene, OR 97401

Jack Sparader

[Signature]

3771 E Amazon Eugene OR 97405

Jack Sparader

[Signature]

3760 MIDWAY VIEW EUGENE OR 97408

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| BOBBIE WATKINS | BOBBIE WATKINS | 1045 Sweetcreek rd Mapleton OR |
| Ann Saunders | Ann Saunders | 11471 Beech Ave Mapleton |
| Russell R Wynne | James Wynne | 3603 Oak St Forest OR |
| Tasha Wynne | James Wynne | " " |
| Katie W. W. | James Wynne | 13223 Sparhawk Rd Siuslaw OR |
| Maury McMaster | Maury McMaster | 92990 Woodland Cr. E. Madras, OR |
| Frank Allen | Frank Allen | 12371 Hwy 36 Siuslaw OR |
| Donovan English | Donovan English | |
| Darrell Dixon | Darrell Dixon | 11720 Hwy 36 Madras OR |
| Will Spruce | Wayne Spruce | Sweetcreek rd Mapleton OR |
| Jim Steele | Jim Steele | 3491 Oakwood way Eugene OR |
| Barbara Reeder | Barbara Reeder | |
| Barbara Reeder | Barbara Reeder | 89299 Hwy 36 Madras OR 97480 |
| Angela James | Angela James | 12886 Hwy 36 Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Frane Wade | Frane Wade | 12797 Sutherland Rd Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Ron Klampe | Allyson Klampe | 89562 Shore Crest Florence OR 97439 |
| Paul Spensson | Paul Spensson | 13751 Stagecoach Rd Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Matt Lopez | Matt Lopez | 09369 Duncan Trs Mapleton |
| Ann D. | Ann D. | 4080 E. 17th Ave Eugene OR 97403 |
| Jessica Williams | Jessica Williams | 13987 Hwy 36 Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Jeff Williams | Jeff Williams | 13987 Hwy 36 Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Mark T. Stahl | Mark T. Stahl | 90247. Barber Rd Elsie OR 97437 |
| Brent L. Stahl | Brent L. Stahl | " " |

Chris Scambator

Uma Arndt

PO Box 502 Veneta OR 97457

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| RANDY SWEEDNER | Randy Sweedner | 88087 River View Ave, Manly, OR 97135 |
| Mike DeCruz | Mike DeCruz | 915 N Park Avenue OR 97136 |
| LENNY HKE Eagle | Lenny HKE Eagle | 13123 Hwy 36 97153 OR |
| Jason Davila | Jason Davila | 13901 Stagecoach Rd Siuslaw 97180 |
| Frank Weller | Frank Weller | 1159 N Forks Siuslaw Rd 97453 |
| Dave D'Amid | Dave D'Amid | 11846 Fir Pl. Mapleton OR 97453 |
| Conny Messner | Conny Messner | 10872 E. Mapleton Rd Mapleton OR 97453 |
| Kathy Friel | Kathy Friel | 60848 Indianer Rd Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Andred Walker | Andred Walker | 88150 Chestnut St. Mapleton |
| Dakota Miller | Dakota Miller | 90848 Indian Crk Siuslaw Home. |
| Ferny Medeiros | Ferny Medeiros | 90848 Indian Crk Siuslaw Home |
| DAN LINA | DAN LINA | 5170 Grand #8 Florence |
| FRANZ D. LAKE | FRANZ D. LAKE | 5170 Grand Ave Sp48 Florence, OR |
| CHARLES MORGAN | CHARLES MORGAN | 130X 111 Mapleton |
| James Nelson | James Nelson | Box 18 Florence OR 97149 |
| Brian Greger | Brian Greger | Maple Lane RV PARK |
| JOHN D. FUGATE | JOHN D. FUGATE | Maple Lane 1135 Hwy 36 |
| Hagen Holmes | Hagen Holmes | 87587 Rice Rd |
| Edward M'Gah | Edward M'Gah | 88557 River View Rd Mapleton 97153 |
| ROBERT MUIR | ROBERT MUIR | 17356 Hwy Swiss Home |
| Andrey Bidler | Andrey Bidler | 14664 Hwy 36 OSBANDWOOD OR 97143D |
| Robert Stevens | Robert Stevens | 88098 Klaviersen Copr Mapleton |
| | | 85580 Sweet or Rd |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Thomas G. Lavin | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88120 Ruben Lane, Veneta, OR 97587 |
| Brandt Norman | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88120 Territorial Dr, Veneta, OR 97574 |
| Celeste McPherson | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88946 Erdman Veneta, OR 97487 |
| James McLinn | <i>[Signature]</i> | 87946 Erdman Veneta, OR 97487 |
| Catherine Becker | <i>[Signature]</i> | 369 Marsha Lane, Linwood, OR 97446 |
| Andrea Hale | <i>[Signature]</i> | 87942 Shepherd St., Veneta, OR 97487 |
| Mike Hale | <i>[Signature]</i> | 87942 Stevens St., Veneta, OR 97487 |
| Tim Hockett | <i>[Signature]</i> | 25213 Cheney Drive, Veneta, OR 97487 |
| FARRELL S. ARLISS | <i>[Signature]</i> | PO Box 25741 Eugene, OR 97402 |
| Lizbeth Long | <i>[Signature]</i> | PO Box 724 Veneta, OR 97487 |
| SARY GORSAND | <i>[Signature]</i> | 1325 CHAFFIN ST. Eugene, OR 97402 |
| PAUL H. HUNTER | <i>[Signature]</i> | 1199 U. TONGUE #340 Eugene, OR 97402 |
| WAVE H. HUNTER | <i>[Signature]</i> | 1199 Tacey #340 Eugene, OR 97402 |
| BETH BISHOP | <i>[Signature]</i> | 25160 Perkins Rd Veneta, OR 97487 |
| NOLLE DUFFAND | <i>[Signature]</i> | 30291 Revere Rd Veneta, OR 97487 |
| PAUL TYLER | <i>[Signature]</i> | 93261 Territorial Hwy, Junction City, OR 97419 |
| GORDON J. WELSH | <i>[Signature]</i> | 92815 Stevens Pl Junction City, OR 97448 |
| ROY THOMPSON | <i>[Signature]</i> | 24420 E. Bolton Rd Veneta, OR 97487 |
| ADRIAN RAMIREZ | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88210 LAKE SIDE DR VENETA, OR 97487 |
| STANLEY PITMAN | <i>[Signature]</i> | 15022 Meadow Dale Ln Veneta, OR 97487 |
| BOB KILPATRICK | <i>[Signature]</i> | 25022 Moorwade Ln Veneta, OR 97487 |
| JEFFERSON A. GONZALES | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88210 Lakeside Dr Veneta, OR 97487 |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGNATURE | YOUR ADDRESS |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BRYAN STEV | <i>[Signature]</i> | 24808 Parkside Veneta OR 97467 |
| Dense Vaughn | <i>[Signature]</i> | 770 Bucton St. Harrisburg OR 97444 |
| Neranda McCallum | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88687 Evers Rd. Elmira OR 97437 |
| Stacy Brockman | <i>[Signature]</i> | 344 N. Park St. Eugene, OR 97402 |
| Patrick Gray | <i>[Signature]</i> | 92809 Rogers Road Cheshire OR 97419 |
| Tamara Turner | <i>[Signature]</i> | PO Box 592 Holt OR 97461 |
| Josh Weber | <i>[Signature]</i> | 24229 Vaughn Rd Veneta OR 97487 |
| Rusty Stockford | <i>[Signature]</i> | 24229 Vaughn Rd Veneta OR 97487 |
| Andy Maxwell | <i>[Signature]</i> | 25281 Spruce St Elsie OR 97432 |
| TRACY TURNER | <i>[Signature]</i> | Pd Box 522 Asti OR 97461 |
| Cindy Talbot | <i>[Signature]</i> | 24372 Suttle Rd. Veneta OR 97484 |
| Lee Talbot | <i>[Signature]</i> | 24372 Suttle Rd. Veneta, OR 97487 |
| KLIA FRISS | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88056 5th St Veneta OR 97487 |
| BILL EGAN | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88056 5th St Veneta OR 97487 |
| Dan Evans | <i>[Signature]</i> | 730 Burton St. Harrisburg OR 97444 |
| Mike Shankle | <i>[Signature]</i> | 21600 Voucher Rd, Veneta, OR 97487 |
| David M. Montgomery | <i>[Signature]</i> | 22179 Hwy 126, Asti, OR 97461 |
| MAX KING | <i>[Signature]</i> | PO Box 404 Asti, OR 97461 |
| PAT SHANKLE | <i>[Signature]</i> | 21631 Hwy 126 Asti OR 97461 |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|-------------------|------------------|--|
| CHARL Buchanan | Carl Buchanan | 295 S 92 Street Springfield OR, 97478 |
| Timmy Giger | Timmy Giger | 87969 8th St Veneta OR 97487 |
| Juan Ortega | Gregory Ortega | 2276 N. T. Loop N. T. O R. 97481 |
| Willie Simonsen | Willie Simonsen | 15971 Hwy 36 E. 155th Ave. OR 97480 |
| James A. Gresham | James Gresham | 88667 Elvers Rd. Veneta OR 97487 |
| Waylon LaCoste | Waylon LaCoste | 12th case st Eugene OR 97402 |
| Thomas E. French | Thomas E. French | PO BOX 572 Veneta Or 97487 |
| Stan W Smith | Stan Smith | PO BOX 466 N. T., OR 97461 |
| Johny Robinson | Johny Robinson | 4531 Liberty St Eugene OR 97402 |
| Luke Ditcher | Luke Ditcher | 88213 Chestnut St Mapleton Or 97453 |
| Arleta Garcia | Arleta Garcia | N/A |
| Floyd Sinyard | Charles Sinyard | N/A |
| Chris Farnum | Chris Farnum | 4202 Mercum Ln Eugene OR 97402 |
| Eliaser Gonzalez | Eliaser Gonzalez | N/A |
| Daniel LaLee | Daniel LaLee | 28746 Haman Rd Eugene OR 97405 |
| Chris L Lundquist | Chris Lundquist | 692 62nd St Springfield OR 97478 |
| Bob O Swines | Bob O Swines | 78412 Haldeman Rd Co. Toke, Ore OR |
| Robert Walker | Robert Walker | 528 N. Dunbar Eugene, OR 97402 |
| JAMES E. MILLS | JAMES E. MILLS | 89037 Skelton Rd Clmisa or 97437 |
| Allen Simpson | Allen Simpson | 13977 Hwy 36 P.O. Box 82 Suislawhome Ore 97480 |
| Shaun Hoyt | Shaun Hoyt | 26287400 Hwy Veneta, Or. 97487 |
| KERRY KRANUSZ | Kerry Kranusz | N/A |
| James Tennison | James Tennison | N/A |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| JERRY HAYES | Jerry Hayes | 26197 Fawver Dr. Veneta, OR 97487 |
| John Simington | John Simington | 12015 Hwy 36 Deschutes OR 97430 |
| ALBERT TAYLOR | Albert Taylor | 13103 Hwy 36 Swissburn, OR 97480 |
| Randy Kinkade | Randy Kinkade | |
| To My Old Friend | John Simington | |
| Michael Haseman | Michael Haseman | 736 71 Street Springfield OR |
| Rachy Beck | R.G. Beck | 25045 Balrae Rd Veneta OR |
| Michael Rucke | Michael Rucke | PO Box 1282 Veneta, OR, 97487 |
| Nathan Ruzon | Nathan Ruzon | 661 Fairfield Ave Eugene OR 97403 |
| Louise Jile | Louise Jile | 9110 Kille Rd Seaside OR 97138 |
| Michael Rucke | Michael Simington | 90 Silver Ln. Eugene, OR 97402 |
| Joseph C. Cusic | Joseph C. Cusic | 13711 Sycamore Rd Siuslaw, OR 97453 |
| David Stevenson | David Stevenson | 1200 Chase St. Eugene OR 97402 |
| Cory DeLunte | Cory DeLunte | N/A |
| James E Mills #1 | James E Mills | 26108 Briggs Hill Rd Eugene OR 97405 |
| James E. Spratt | James Spratt | 505 Thorne Dr #283 Eugene OR 97408 |
| Ray Johnson | Ray Johnson | PO box 93 Elmira OR 97437 |
| TRUCK KAGAN | Truck Kagan | 530 5200 Hemming OR 97446 |
| Larry Leisner | Larry Leisner | 25341 moynen Elmira OR 97437 |
| David Lenhardt | David Lenhardt | 4336 W. 11th, St. Eugene OR 97402 |
| Fat Dodge | Fat Dodge | 1889 13rd Aly Eugene OR 97402 |
| William D. Switzer | William D. Switzer | 21411 Vaughn Rd Veneta 97487 |
| Albert W. Dick | Albert W. Dick | 468 Main St. Eugene, OR 97404 |
| | | 24917 Strick Street Veneta, OR. 97487. |

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| BILL CHRISTIANSON | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88895 HALE RD NOT OR 97461 |
| Scott Harpole | <i>[Signature]</i> | 23660 Suttle rd Veneta OR 97488 |
| MIKE McEAT MICK | VSU | 80831 Bogale Cr. |
| SHIRLEY SMITH | <i>[Signature]</i> | 88165 Thomas Dr Veneta 97487 |
| Steve Wismen | <i>[Signature]</i> | 91244 Boulder West 97479 |
| DOUG LONELY | <i>[Signature]</i> | 25975 ELICK Ln Veneta OR 97488 |
| Theresa Prantley | AKK | 98537 Faulhaber Rd Emira, OR 97437 |
| Theresa prantley | <i>[Signature]</i> | 2442 Saddle Veneta OR 97487 |
| Justin Schelle | <i>[Signature]</i> | 510 meadow Ln dorell OR 97088 |
| KIM Schelle | <i>[Signature]</i> | 510 meadow Ln dorell OR 97088 |
| PHILIP McEAT MICK | <i>[Signature]</i> | 89831 POOLE CREEK RD NOT OR 97461 |
| Todd Abrahamson | Todd Abrahamson | 8976 More St, Eugene OR 97404 |
| JUSTIN WINTERBELL | <i>[Signature]</i> | 21601 WASH RD VENETA OR 97487 |
| SASON BOSTROM | <i>[Signature]</i> | 90289 meadow Rd dore OR 97402 |
| Sally Foster | <i>[Signature]</i> | 29841 carel Fire Eugene OR 97402 |
| Phil King | <i>[Signature]</i> | 29950 Beaver Cr Rd Corvallis OR 97335 |
| Donald KASSON | <i>[Signature]</i> | 22992 NOT LASS NOT OR 97461 |
| Mathew Bfont | <i>[Signature]</i> | 558 U St Sled OR |
| David Bfont | <i>[Signature]</i> | 463 Sorez St Eugene OR 97102 |
| David B Fowler | <i>[Signature]</i> | 22617 Vaughn Rd Veneta OR 97487 |

2

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|------------------|------------------|--|
| Bruce Bauman | Bruce Bauman | 25102 Perkins Rd Veneta OR |
| Tom Walker | Tom Walker | 88038 1075 St Veneta OR |
| NOSMADONORE | Tom Walker | 2610 Kingwood/Ste #3-1100-97439 |
| Scott Brown | Scott Brown | P.O. Box 4991 Madras OR 97453 |
| Robert Farnish | Robert Farnish | 11753 Alder Av Mapleton OR 97453 |
| Chris Lydick | Chris Lydick | Box 1302 Florence OR 97439 |
| Allen Hughes | Allen Hughes | 13284 Hwy 36 Siuslaw OR 97480 |
| Kenneth Shavelle | Kenneth Shavelle | 325 Crestwood Dr Madras OR 97421 |
| S Ann Tardone | S Ann Tardone | 820 Laurel St Madras OR 97402 |
| Adon Dille | Adon Dille | P.O. Box 514 Powers OR 97466 |
| Carol Johnson | Carol Johnson | P.O. Box 514 Powers OR 97466 |
| Michael Valdez | Michael Valdez | 147 Blezer Ave Eugene OR 97401 |
| Matt Drumbeat | Matt Drumbeat | 3080 Murrel Lake Rd Florence OR 97439 |
| THE A. MATTA | THE A. MATTA | 3080 Murrel Lake Rd Florence OR 97439 |
| TEJODR MATTA | TEJODR MATTA | 147 Blazer Ave Eugene OR 97401 |
| JACOB STOCK | Jacob Stock | 1313 EUSWORTH ST Eugene OR 97402 |
| SARAH WEBER | Sarah Weber | 1735 So. 5th St. Veneta OR 97424 |
| Brian Peest | Brian Peest | 1735 S. 5th St. Cottage Grove OR 97424 |

39

CONCERNING LAKE CREEK / SIUSLAW CLOSURE

| PRINT NAME | SIGN NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|
| MARK T. Sabin | <i>Mark T. Sabin</i> | 90742 Burt Rd. Elving OR 97437 |
| Linda Beenes | <i>Linda Beenes</i> | 13951 Hwy 36 Indola OR 97480 |
| <i>Ann D. Goss</i> | <i>Ann D. Goss</i> | 19678 " 36 Deadwood OR 97430 |
| Fred Seiler | <i>Fred Seiler</i> | 975 Waverly St Eugene OR 97401 |
| Justin Overt | <i>Justin Overt</i> | 83421 R. Hencke Rd. Seiler OR 97431 |
| Jessica Jounkin | <i>Jessica Jounkin</i> | 18809 Little Lake Rd. Blackby OR 97412 |
| <i>John Jounkin</i> | <i>John Jounkin</i> | 13591 Indola Hwy 36 |
| Kene Gamacho | <i>Kene Gamacho</i> | 14611 Hwy 36 Deadwood, OR 97430 |
| <i>Alyd Root</i> | <i>Alyd Root</i> | |
| Vanessa Spielman | <i>Vanessa Spielman</i> | 21212 Hawk Ridge Rd. Hobart OR 97412 |
| Chip Burdett | <i>Chip Burdett</i> | 92650 Seaview cr 23 Seaview OR 97430 |
| Michelle Mendenhall | <i>Michelle Mendenhall</i> | 18011 Hwy 36 Blackby OR 97412 |
| GENIE NORTON | <i>Genie Norton</i> | 14639 Hwy 36 Deadwood OR 97430 |
| TUD ENELLS MOSCO | <i>Tud Enells Mosco</i> | 13115 Hwy 36 Seaview OR 97430 |
| ERIK M. SCOTT | <i>Erik M. Scott</i> | 93509 Seaview Creek Rd. Seaview, OR 97430 |
| Rick Tanner | <i>Rick Tanner</i> | 19155 Rust Rd Blackby, OR 97412 |
| Sue PAUTERLI | <i>Sue Pauterli</i> | 90480 Deadwood Creek Rd. Deadwood OR |
| Mike Harrison | <i>Mike Harrison</i> | 2338 Dracuse Eugene OR 97402 |
| Milene Voldetz | <i>Milene Voldetz</i> | 147 Blazer Ave Eugene OR 97401 337-7994 |
| <i>Mia King</i> | <i>Mia King</i> | 535 Nottingham Ave Eugene |
| Hal E. Simpson | <i>Hal E. Simpson</i> | 22657 Williams way Toki OR 97461 |

97412

Concerning Lake Creek / Swislow Closure

| PRINT NAME | SEN. NAME | YOUR ADDRESS |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Tom Dodge | Tom Dodge | 92725 Kinser Ln Chestae OR |
| Jimmy Norton | Jimmy Norton | 4483 B 3080 Munsell Lake rd Florence |
| Denise A. Beck | Denise A. Beck | 12371 Hwy 36 Swisshome Or 97486 |
| Nice McGuffin | Nice McGuffin | 18515 Hwy 126 WALTON OR 97496 |
| Ada Reeves | Amira Reeves | P.O. Box 482 Mapleton OR 97453 |
| Orde Mendel | Rhonda Mendel | 83340 Parkway Pl OR 97439 |
| AMIA COLLINS | AMIA COLLINS | 83340 PARKWAY DR ^{FLORENCE} OR 97439 |
| Van Hamilton | Van Hamilton | 12767 Village loop Swisshome |
| Ann Swedberg | Ann Swedberg | 88087 Riverview Ave Mapleton 97453 |
| Artris Pennington | Artris Pennington | 11729 Maple Ave Mapleton 97453 |
| 46 TUPENEGS MOS | Tupenegs Mos | 11238 Hwy 36 Swisshome |
| John H. Reeves | John H. Reeves | P.O. Box 482 MAPleton OR 97453 |
| Mike McIntyre | Mike McIntyre | 88077 Riverview Ave Mapleton, Or |
| Bill EASTON | Bill East | 2012 17th St FLORENCE Or 974 |
| Ed Ross | Ed Ross | 4573 FALCON ST 97439 |